

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 10/670,590 | 09/25/2003 | Yasunori Kaneda | 81940.0061 | 7497 |
| 24956 | 7590 05/03/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. | | | SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI | |
| 1800 DIAGO | NAL ROAD | | | |
| SUITE 370 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ALEXANDR | IA, VA 22314 | | 2157 | |

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

| | | | | 9 |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | • |
| | | 10/670,590 | KANEDA ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Salad E. Abdullahi | 2157 | |
| Period f | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | |
| WHIII - Extended after a | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON (5). | ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 S | eptember 2003. | | |
| 2a) | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This | s action is non-final. | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E | · | | |
| Disposit | tion of Claims | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected. | wn from consideration. | | - |
| Applicat | tion Papers | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 September 2005</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objed drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Solition is required if the drawing(s) is o | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12)⊠ a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority Copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies of the priority Copies of the prio | is have been received. is have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ition No ved in this National Stage | |
| Attachmer | nt(s) | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notion 3) 🔯 Infor | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 7/0580/23 | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | |

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been reviewed. Original claims 1-26 are pending. The rejection cited stated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Karasudani et al. U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0059287[hereinafter Karasudani] in view of Kaneda et al., U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0204583[hereinafter Kaneda]
- 3. The applied reference has a common assignee and/or inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filling date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filling date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the

Art Unit: 2157

application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

4. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Karasudani et al. U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0059287[hereinafter Karasudani].

As per claim 1, Karasudani discloses a storage device comprising:

at least one storage (102) for storing data to be accessed by at least one computer (101) (see fig. 3);

a management interface (113) that receives from a management computer a storage region allocation request to allocate a storage region to the at least one computer (see figs. 7A-7E and paragraph 0103);

a storage region allocation module(115) that allocates to the at least one computer, according to the storage region allocation request, an unallocated storage region in the at least one storage in a manner accessible by the at least one computer (see paragraph 0103 and 0147).

Karasudani is silent regarding:

an access processing module that controls data access between the at least one storage and the at least one computer.

Art Unit: 2157

a performance allocation module that allocates to the at least one computer, according to the storage region allocation request, performance of at least one target module that affects data access between the at least one computer and the storage region allocated Kaneda discloses an storage device including an access processing module (210) that controls data access between the at least one storage and the at least one computer ((see paragraph 0049);and a performance allocation module(400) that allocates to the at least one computer, according to the storage region allocation request, performance of at least one target module that affects data access between the at least one computer and the storage region allocated(see paragraph 0059). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention presented with teaching of Karasudani to utilize the performance allocation module which includes the access processing module (210) as taught by Kaneda to ensure required performance by the allocation request.

As per claim 2-3, Kaneda discloses a storage device according to claim 1, wherein the at least one target module includes an interface that connects to the at least one computer, and the performance allocation module is a band control module for controlling band performance in the interface (see paragraph 0059).

AS per claim 3, Kaneda discloses a storage device according to claim 1, further comprising a cache that temporarily stores data to be moved between the storage and

Art Unit: 2157

the at least one computer, wherein the performance allocation module is a cache allocation control module that controls, according to the storage region allocation request, an allocation amount of the cache that affects data access between the at least one computer and the storage region allocated (see paragraph 0061).

As per claim 4-6, Karasudani discloses storage device according to claim 1, further comprising a storage region management module that sets, according to an allocation release request from the management computer to release the storage region allocated, the storage region allocated to an unallocated storage region, wherein the performance allocation module releases, according to the allocation release request, performance guarantee that is set to the at least one target module computer (see paragraph 0103 and 0147).

As per claim 7-10, Karasudani discloses a storage device according to claim 1, further comprising a plurality of disk drives that manage a plurality of storage regions, wherein the storage region allocation request includes a policy concerning a randomness of accesses for the storage region that is a target of the storage region allocation request, and the storage region allocation module specifies at least one of the plurality of disk drives to allocate the unallocated storage region according to the policy concerning the randomness of accesses included in the storage region allocation request and random access performance information of parts of the plurality of storage regions that are already allocated to the disk drives computer (see paragraph 0103 and 0147).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claims 11-26, the claims include features in claims 1-10 discussed above with respect to claims 1-10, thus claims 11-26 are rejected same rational as claims 1-10.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salad E. Abdullahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4009. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.
- 7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abdullahi Salad Primary examiner 4/49/2006